



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,993	12/11/2001	Alan B. Touchberry	H16-25558US	3362

7590 08/13/2003

Dennis C. Bremer
Honeywell International Inc.
101 Columbia Road
P.O. Box 2245
Morristown, NJ 07962-2245

EXAMINER

DONG, DALEI

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2875

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/014,993

Applicant(s)

TOUCHBERRY ET AL.

Examiner

Dalei Dong

Art Unit

2875

it

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears in the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☒ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: the title of the application is not properly disclosed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 3, 7-13, 15, 18-19, 22-23, 26-27, 29 and 31, the phrase "substantially" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 2875

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 10, 12, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,670,691 to Podgorski.

Regarding to claims 1, 3-5, 8, 10, 12, Podgorski discloses in Figure 1, “a ring laser angular rate sensor apparatus 10. The assembly includes a mechanically and thermally stable block 15 such as fused quartz and the like. Block 15 provides plurality of interconnected cavities or tunnels including cavities 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24. Cavities 17, 20, and 23 form, at least in part, a triangular shaped optical closed-loop path. At each of the corners of the block is provided a wave reflecting means which is illustrated in FIG. 1 by means 20a, 20b, and 20c, which respectively provide wave reflecting surfaces 21a, 21b, and 21c. The ring laser angular rate sensor apparatus also includes a first anode 30 in communication with cavity 18 and cavity 17 (*cavities and getter portion are interconnected with each other*), a second anode 31 in communication with cavity 24 and cavity 23, and a cathode 32 in communication with cavities 21 and 20’ (column 2, line 3-18).

Podgorski also discloses in Figure 1, “Anode 30 comprises a head portion 60 arranged in such a manner to engage block 15 surrounding cavity 18 for sealing off the surrounding atmosphere from the gas filled cavity. Extending from head portion 60 is a stem portion 61 disposed in cavity 18. Substantially surrounding stem portion 61 is control guide 63 (*forms the getter well around the getter*) which may be cylindrical in

Art Unit: 2875

shape. Also extending from head portion 60 is guiding means 63” (column 2, line 38-45).

Podgorski further discloses in Figure 1, “stem portion 61 (*getter located in a getter well*) is intended to be composed of, at least in part, a getter material, for example, an alloy of titanium. Guiding means 63 may be anodized aluminum having a function as will be described below. Head portion 60 is adapted to have terminal means for connecting anode 60 to an electric potential source” (column 2, line 46-51).

Podgorski further yet discloses in Figure 1, “the sputtering guide 63 surrounding the getter material stem portion 61 is provided so that only selected surfaces of the stem portion are sputtered to control the amount of degradation of the getter material as well as focus the sputtering away toward cavity 17 (*hole connected the getter well and a cavity*)” (column 3, line 29-34).

6. Claims 13, 15, 18, 23-24, 26-27 and 29, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,740,985 Podgorski.

Regarding to claim 13, 15, 18, 23-24, 26-27 and 29 Podgorski discloses in Figure 2, “the getter assembly in accordance with the present invention which serves as a getter assembly and an anode 18 and/or 19. It should be understood by those skilled in the art that the getter assembly in accordance with the present invention could be used as a separate component and not serve a dual function as an anode. Nevertheless the getter assembly shall be described here in its dual function capacity” (column 2, line 40-49).

Podgorski also discloses in Figure 2, “the getter assembly as illustrated in FIG. 2 is comprised of a housing 210 for forming a cavity 212 (*getter well*). Housing 210 is shown to be cylindrically shaped in FIG. 2, but is only exemplary, and it should be understood that the shape of the housing and the cavity is not critical to the invention herein. The housing as is shown in FIG. 2 and the side view shown in FIG. 3 could include a mounting flange 214. Attached to the mounting flange is an end cover 216 (*disk or diffusion barrier*) for completely covering the passageway into cavity 212. The end cover may, by way of example, be welded (*seal*) to the housing flange 214. The top of the housing includes an insulator material 230 which allows passage of electrodes 241 and 243 to be in communication with cavity 212. Connected between electrodes 241 and 243 within cavity 212 is a getter material 250 (*a getter*) suspended within cavity 212. The end cover 216 and insulator 230 are attached to housing 210 to form a gas tight cavity 212. Further, electrodes 241 and 243, passing through insulator 230 are also constructed to insure a gas tight cavity 212. Lastly, a pinch tube 260 is welded to housing 210 for purposes as will be described” (column 2, line 50 to column 3, line 2).

Podgorski further discloses in Figure 2, “The end cover which serves as a cover of cavity 212 also serves as an end cover for passageway 21. The material and thickness of end cover 216 is chosen to be permeable to gas in very minute quantities. Preferably the end cover is very thin and allows the hydrogen to diffuse through the cover and be exposed to the surface within cavity 212. For example, Zirconium is a material which can serve the intended function of end cover 216. In its intended operation, minute quantities of the hydrogen contaminant gas within lasing gas is allowed to molecularly

Art Unit: 2875

pass through end cover 216 in order to be neutralized by the getter material within cavity 212. Thus, in this manner the gas contaminants can be eliminated from the lasing cavity. Because of the "sealing" function of end cover 216, no particulate getter material matter can ever enter into the passageways and interconnected cavities of laser block 10" (column 3, line 39 to column 4, line 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 2, 6-7, 9 and 11, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,670,691 to Podgorski.

Regarding to claims 2, 9, it is old and well known in the art to utilize a barium alloy as the getter material for discharge device with inert gas, and further Applicant has disclosed that barium and titanium or zirconium alloys can used interchangeably as the getter material, and Podgorski teaches the use of titanium alloy as the getter material in column 2, lines 47-48. Furthermore, Podgorski discloses the claimed invention except for the getter composed of a barium alloy. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilize the barium alloy, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a

known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding to claims 6, Podgorski discloses “In order to provide a getter, prior art ring laser structures and processes include a getter assembly consisting of a snap ring welded to a getter pan containing the getter material. This assembly is clamped inside the optical cavity of the ring laser structure. The getter material is flashed and the cavity closed off at its gas-filling pinch tube leaving the getter assembly inside the cavity. This system has several disadvantages. Particles are shed from the getter assembly due to shock, vibration, and/or temperature variations. This particulate matter contaminates the laser and reduces the useful life thereof. Further, a certain amount of contaminated gases is emitted from the getter material just before it flashes which also reduces the life of the laser” (column 1, line 35-48).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilize the snap ring of Podgorski for the ring laser angular rate sensor apparatus of Podgorski in order to securely and safely hold the getter material to the getter well.

Regarding to claims 7, 11, Podgorski discloses the claimed invention except for the specific dimension of the hole. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have arranged the dimension of the hole according to the design requirements, since it has been held that where the general

Art Unit: 2875

condition of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Furthermore, Applicant has not establish the criticality of the dimension of the hole to the invention in the disclosure, and neither comparative analysis nor study has been done to show the improve and advantage of the claimed specific dimension of the hole over the prior art of record.

9. Claims 14, 20- 22, 24, 28, and 30-34, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,740,985 Podgorski.

Regarding to claims 14, 22, 28 it is old and well known in the art to utilize a barium alloy as the getter material for discharge device with inert gas, and further Applicant has disclosed that barium and titanium or zirconium alloys can used interchangeably as the getter material, and Podgorski teaches the use of titanium alloy as the getter material in column 2, lines 47-48. Furthermore, Podgorski discloses the claimed invention except for the getter composed of a barium alloy. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilize the barium alloy, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding to claims 20, 24, Applicant has established the criticality of the indium seal, and, furthermore, Podgorski discloses the claimed invention except for the seal is

Art Unit: 2875

composed of indium. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilize the indium, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding to claims 21, Podgorski discloses “in some ring laser angular rate sensors, a getter assembly consisting of a snap ring welded to a getter pin containing the getter material is clamped inside the ring laser cavity. The getter material is flashed and the sensor cavity is closed off at its pinch tube, leaving the getter assembly inside the lasing cavity. This system has several disadvantages. Particles shed from the delivery system due to shock, vibration, and/or temperature variations, can contaminate the sensor and reduce its useful life. Further, a certain amount of contaminated gas is emitted from the getter material just before it flashes. Also, some contaminant gases may be rubbed off during insertion of the getter delivery system into the sensor” (column 1, line 33-47).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilize the snap ring of Podgorski for the ring laser angular rate sensor apparatus of Podgorski in order to securely and safely hold the getter material to the getter well.

Regarding to claims 30-34, Podgorski discloses the claimed invention except for the diffusion layer is composed of barium nitride. It would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2875

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilize barium nitride as the diffusion barrier, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

10. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,740,985 Podgorski in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,856,995 to Morris.

Regarding to claims 16 and 17, Podgorski discloses a system for restricting a getter comprising a getter located in a getter well; and a disk separating the getter well from a cavity. However, Podgorski does not disclose the disk is composed of glass and the glass is Zerodur.

Morris teaches “a typical laser assembly for a ring laser gyroscope is built on a laser frame 10 within which are contained laser bores 12 which hold the helium neon lasing gas mixture and further define the closed laser path. The laser frame 10 is typically made from a low thermal expansion lithium aluminum silicate glass-ceramic such as the material known by the Trademark Zerodur. Such lithium aluminum silicate glass-ceramic materials typically exhibit ionic conductivity values higher than most other glass and ceramic materials. Attached to the outer surfaces of the laser frame are laser mirrors 13, anode electrodes 14 and a cathode electrode 15. Mirrors 13 are typically attached to the carefully polished corners of the frame by direct optical contacting. The anode 14 and cathode 15 electrodes are typically attached to the frame by means of

Art Unit: 2875

indium vacuum seals 16. In addition to the components shown, the frame typically contains getter wells and getters to assure high purity in the lasing gas mixture and a centrally mounted mechanical flexure for the purpose of dithering the gyro to prevent laser mode locking at low rotation rates. Also not shown are mechanisms for moving one or more of the mirrors to maintain constant optical path length around the closed laser path. FIG. 1 is meant only to portray features of a typical ring laser design. Many variations are possible with respect to the number of mirrors, materials of construction, attachment methods etc. as is commonly known to those skilled in the art" (column 3, line 56 to column 4, line 15).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have compose the disk of Podgorski using the Zerodur glass of Morris in order to efficiently control the amount of the diffused gas into the getter cavity and thus controls the impurity of the discharge gas.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following prior art are cited to further show the state of the art of composition of a getter assembly.

U.S. Patent No. 3,876,957 to Thatcher.

U.S. Patent No. 4,503,543 to Ljung.

U.S. Patent No. 4,969,157 to Malvern.

Art Unit: 2875

U.S. Patent No. 5,056,102 to Galbrecht.

U.S. Patent No. 5,127,016 to Podgorski.

U.S. Patent No. 5,856,995 to Morris.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalei Dong whose telephone number is (703)308-2870. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (703)305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9318 for regular communications and (703)872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

D.D.
August 5, 2003

THOMAS M. SEMBER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'T. M. Sember', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

THOMAS M. SEMBER
PRIMARY EXAMINER